# POLICY ON SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS (SEPS)

FEBRUARY 19, 2013 ITEM 5



Cris Carrigan, Director
Office of Enforcement

#### Background: 2008 LAO Concerns

- SEPs reduce funding available for Statewide projects
- Regions regularly permit SEPs in excess of 50% of the total assessed liability
- State Water Board should have a role in SEP fiscal oversight
- SEP data should be publicly available
- Address practice of holding funds for future, speculative SEPS

### 6 Goals of the SEP Policy

- **Ensure Proper Use of SEP Funds**
- Limit SEP Amounts to 50% of Total Liability
- Increase Accountability for Proper Allocation and Use of Funds
- Increase Public Reporting of SEP Status Information
- Increase Oversight on Placement of Settlement Funds into accounts not authorized by Statute or the State Water Board
- **Ensure Dischargers Cover Oversight Costs of SEPs**

Overarching Goal: Improve Quality of SEPs

**Ensure proper use of SEP funds** 

- Criteria for SEP Selection
- Nexus Requirement
- SEP Approval Process

#### Criteria for SEP Selection

- Directly benefits or studies groundwater or surface water quality or quantity
- Goes above-and-beyond otherwise applicable obligations of the discharger

Never directly benefits, in a fiscal manner, a Water Boards' functions, members, staff, or family of members and staff

# Nexus Requirement

There must be a nexus between the violation(s) and the SEP

SEP policy definition of "nexus"

"a relationship between the nature or location of the violation and the nature or location of the proposed SEP"

### SEP Approval Process

Step 1: Prosecution Team (OE, RB Staff/AEOs) vets SEP proposal before presenting to Board for approval

**Step 2: Opportunity for 30-Day public comment** 

**Step 3: SEP is approved by Regional Board or Executive Officer** 

Limit SEP amounts to 50 percent of total liability

Increase accountability for proper allocation and use of funds

- SEP is treated as suspended liability
- Specific requirements for Orders containing SEPs
- Post-project verification requirements

# How We Are Increasing Accountability

- 1) SEP is treated as suspended liability
- 2) Specific requirements for orders containing SEPs:
  - Scope of Work
  - Budget
  - Performance standards
  - Quarterly reporting on achieving performance standards
  - Time schedule for completion
- 3) Additional project verification requirements

Increase public reporting of SEP status information

Increase oversight on placement of settlement funds into accounts not authorized by Statute or the State Water Board

Ensure dischargers cover staff oversight costs of SEPs

Results vary by Regional Board

+ Improvement Needed

## Overarching Goal

- Improve quality of SEPs
- Metrics Analyzed
  - Number of projects
  - Dollar amount of projects
  - Trends in project types
  - Direct vs. Indirect nature of water quality benefits
  - High vs. low level of water quality benefits

#### **Total Dollar Amount & Number of Projects**



## Trends by Type of Project

#### Increasing

- Non-Required Upgrades (Enhanced Compliance Actions)
- Private Lateral Replacements
- Low-Impact Development

#### Decreasing

- Restoration
- Education
- Monitoring/Studies

# Direct vs. Indirect Water Quality Benefits

**Projects with direct Water Quality benefits** 

Trending Upward

# High vs. Low Water Quality Benefits

Projects with high Water Quality benefits.

Trending Upward

#### SEP Policy 2013 Report Card



**#2 Limit SEP Amounts to 50% of Total Liability** 

**Use of Funds** 

the State Water Board

#3 Increase Accountability for Proper Allocation and

**#5 Increase Oversight on Placement of Settlement** 

**Overarching Goal: Improve Quality of SEPs** 

**#4 Increase Public Reporting of SEP Status Information** 

Funds into Accounts Not Authorized by Statute or

**#6 Ensure Dischargers Cover Oversight Costs of SEPs** 

